

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,873	04/12/2001	-	Almut Kriebel	P20635.P06	1382
7055	7590 02/19/20	03			
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.				EXAMINER	
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191				ALVO, M	ARC S
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1731	12
				DATE MAILED: 02/19/2003	1 5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		ASI
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/832,873	KRIEBEL ET AL.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steve Alvo	1731
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of tl (1) a timely filed amendr	nis application. A proper reply to a ment which places the application in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or	r b)]
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drawe been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the ma S FILED WITHIN TWO MONT late on which the petition under ension and the corresponding an ed statutory period for reply origi	iling date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	
(a) They raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appea	al by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submit	ted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: §		een considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims v		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)[disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Pape	er No(s).
10. Other:	, ,	Steve Alvo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731